

Download Ex Parte Carll, Petitioner

EX PARTE CARLL, (1883) Argued: Decided: January 15, 1883. A. J. Dittenhoefer, for petitioner. WAITE, C. J. This application is denied. The lawyers at ARLS may, after speaking with a potential client, determine that an Ex-parte Petition is necessary (or, the client's specific county may require initial Ex-Parte petitions). Many jurisdictions have abandoned ex parte in case names, preferring English over Latin terms (e.g., Application of Williams or Petition of Williams). In some jurisdictions, ex parte has been replaced by in re , which means "in the matter of" (e.g., In re Williams). Filed: 1883-01-15 Precedential Status: Precedential Citations: 106 U.S. 521
by scribd_gov_docs in Types > Business/Law > Court Filings